Contested decision: Decision of the Second Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 30 January 2023 in Case R 1684/2022-2

## Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the contested decision;
- order EUIPO to pay the costs.

#### Plea in law

— Infringement of Article 7(1)(b) in conjunction with Article 7(2) of Regulation (EU) 2017/1001 of the European Parliament and of the Council.

# Action brought on 14 April 2023 — Peikko Group v EUIPO — Anstar (Shape of metal beams for construction)

(Case T-192/23)

(2023/C 189/52)

Language in which the application was lodged: English

#### **Parties**

Applicant: Peikko Group Oy (Lahti, Finland) (represented by: M. Müller and A. Fottner, lawyers)

Defendant: European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal: Anstar Oy (Villähde, Finland)

## Details of the proceedings before EUIPO

Proprietor of the trade mark at issue: Applicant before the General Court

Trade mark at issue: European Union tridimensional mark (Shape of metal beams for construction) — European Union trade mark No 18 139 145

Procedure before EUIPO: Cancellation proceedings

Contested decision: Decision of the Fifth Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 7 February 2023 in Case R 2180/2021-5

## Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the contested decision;
- reject the request for declaration of invalidity of the trade mark at issue;
- order the defendant and the other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal to bear the applicant's costs n the present procedure and in the procedure before the Board of Appeal.

### Plea in law

 Infringement of Article 59(1)(a) in conjunction with Article 7(1)(e)(ii) of Regulation (EU) 2017/1001 of the European Parliament and of the Council.