

- content of the correspondence between the Appellant and the General Court;
- date of the suspension of the time limits for lodging an appeal;
- alleged mandate for service of the orders at the Appellant's temporary address abroad where the Appellant didn't resided (instead of the Appellant's permanent address in Belgium);
- alleged mandate for service of the orders at the Appellant's temporary address in Poland where the Appellant didn't resided (instead of the Appellant's permanent address in Belgium);
- existence of unforeseeable circumstances or force majeure;
- existence of an excusable error;
- expiry of the time limit for lodging an action.

(¹) Regulation no^o31 (EEC), 11 (EAEC), laying down the Staff Regulations of Officials and the Conditions of Employment of Other Servants of the European Economic Community and the European Atomic Energy Community (OJ 1962 P 45, p. 1385).

**Request for a preliminary ruling from the Vrhovno sodišče Republike Slovenije (Slovenia) lodged on
9 March 2023 — KUBERA, trgovanje s hrano in pijačo, d.o.o. v Republika Slovenija**

(Case C-144/23, KUBERA)

(2023/C 271/18)

Language of the case: Slovenian

Referring court

Vrhovno sodišče Republike Slovenije

Parties to the main proceedings

Appellant: KUBERA, trgovanje s hrano in pijačo, d.o.o.

Respondent: Republika Slovenija

Questions referred

1. Does the third paragraph of Article 267 TFEU preclude a provision of the Zakon o pravdnem postopku (Code of Civil Procedure) under which, in proceedings relating to the grant of leave to bring an appeal on a point of law (revizija), the Vrhovno sodišče (Supreme Court, Slovenia) is not to consider the issue of whether, as a result of a party's request that a reference for a preliminary ruling be made to the Court of Justice of the European Union, it is required to refer one or more questions to the Court of Justice for a preliminary ruling?

If Question 1 is answered in the affirmative:

2. Must Article 47 of the Charter, regarding the obligation to state the reasons for judicial decisions, be interpreted as meaning that a procedural decision refusing a party's application for leave to bring an appeal on a point of law (revizija) under the Code of Civil Procedure constitutes a 'judicial decision' which must state the reasons why the party's request that a reference for a preliminary ruling be made to the Court of Justice of the European Union should not be granted in the case at hand?

**Request for a preliminary ruling from the Bayerischer Verwaltungsgerichtshof (Germany) lodged on
4 April 2023 — Hauser Weinimport GmbH v Freistaat Bayern**

(Case C-216/23, Hauser Weinimport)

(2023/C 271/19)

Language of the case: German

Referring court

Bayerischer Verwaltungsgerichtshof