

**Action brought on 25 November 2022 — Kantor v Council****(Case T-748/22)**

(2023/C 24/106)

*Language of the case: French***Parties**

*Applicant:* Viatcheslav Moshe Kantor (Herzliya, Israel) (represented by: T. Bontinck, A. Guillerme and M. Brésart, lawyers)

*Defendant:* Council of the European Union

**Form of order sought**

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul Council Decision (CFSP) 2022/1530 of 14 September 2022, <sup>(1)</sup> in so far as it extends the applicant's listing as entry No 896 in the annex to Decision 2014/145/CFSP, as amended by Decision (CFSP) 2022/582 of 8 April 2022, for the same reasons as those stated in the latter;
- annul Council Implementing Regulation (EU) 2022/1529 of 14 September 2022, <sup>(2)</sup> in so far as it extends the applicant's listing as entry No 896 in Annex I to Regulation (EU) 2014/269, as amended by Regulation (EU) 2022/581 of 8 April 2022, by reason of identity of grounds with the latter;
- order the Council to pay the costs.

**Pleas in law and main arguments**

In support of the action, the applicant relies on five pleas in law, which are, in essence, identical or similar to those raised in Case T-734/22, *Pumpyanskiy v Council*.

<sup>(1)</sup> Council Decision (CFSP) 2022/1530 of 14 September 2022 amending Decision 2014/145/CFSP concerning restrictive measures in respect of actions undermining or threatening the territorial integrity, sovereignty and independence of Ukraine (OJ 2022 L 239, p. 149).

<sup>(2)</sup> Council Implementing Regulation (EU) 2022/1529 of 14 September 2022 implementing Regulation (EU) No 269/2014 concerning restrictive measures in respect of actions undermining or threatening the territorial integrity, sovereignty and independence of Ukraine (OJ 2022 L 239, p. 1).

---

**Action brought on 29 November 2022 — Parliament v Union Technique du Bâtiment and Argest****(Case T-749/22)**

(2023/C 24/107)

*Language of the case: French***Parties**

*Applicant:* European Parliament (represented by: M. Kazek and K. Wójcik, acting as Agents, and by N. Charrel, T. Gaspar and M. Jolly, lawyers)

*Defendants:* Union Technique du Bâtiment SA (Romainville, France) and Argest SA (Luxembourg, Luxembourg)